

EQUITY AND LAW LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY, 18, LINCOLN'S INN FIELDS, LONDON, W.C.

Whole-world policies in most cases free of charge.
Policies indisputable and unconditional.

SPECIMEN BONUSES.

Actual additions made to Policies of £1,000 effected under Tables I. and II.

Age at Entry.	NUMBER OF PREMIUMS PAID.				
	Five.	Ten.	Twenty.	Thirty.	Forty.
30	£ 103 0	£ 191 10	£ 431 0	£ 736 0	£ 1,092 0
40	112 0	211 0	464 10	819 0	1,167 0
50	124 0	232 0	525 10	939 10	1,343 10
60	147 0	275 10	626 10	1,136 0
70	197 10	372 0	836 10

EXAMPLE.—A Policy for £1,000, effected 30 years ago by a person then aged 30, would have increased to £1,816, or by more than 80 per cent.
In the cases marked * the Bonuses, if surrendered, would be more than sufficient to extinguish all future premiums, and the Policy-holders would still be entitled to share in future profits.

Cases Reported this Week.

(Before the Vacation Judges.)

Colorado Gold, Silver, and Lead Recovery Syndicate (Ldm.), In re 717
Overy v. Gilman, Spence, & Co. (Ldm.) 717

VOL. XXXIII., No. 46.

The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, SEPTEMBER 14, 1889.

CURRENT TOPICS.

MR. BARON POLLOCK, who concluded his Chancery sittings on Wednesday with a graceful acknowledgment of the assistance which he had received from the members of the equity bar, will next week be succeeded as Vacation Judge by Mr. Justice DENMAN, who, it will be remembered, acted in the same capacity during the first half of the Long Vacation of 1888. It would seem that the rota of Vacation Judges in successive years will now be arranged in the regular order of seniority, although, no doubt, such an arrangement would be interrupted in the event of a judicial vacancy, since it has been generally understood that a newly-appointed judge undertakes vacation duties at the earliest possible opportunity.

THE ACCOUNT of the receipts and expenditure of the Paymaster-General on behalf of the Supreme Court of Judicature for the year ending the 29th of February, 1888, has recently been issued. His balances at the commencement of the year consisted of £3,528,678 cash and £70,960,111 securities, in addition to various amounts expressed in foreign currencies. During the year he received, including dividends and interest, £9,673,616 cash and £5,426,613 in securities. During the same period £9,909,256 in cash was paid out of court, and securities to the nominal value of £6,373,900 were transferred, leaving in court at the end of the year £3,293,037 cash and £70,012,825 in securities, in addition to a considerable amount of securities expressed in foreign currencies. The number of accounts for which these dividends have to be distributed is 40,233. The number of cheques drawn in the year is not stated, but in the last issue of the Judicial Statistics the number was 63,541, and this will in the future be largely increased by reason of dividends being paid quarterly instead of half-yearly.

ALTHOUGH during the present strike in London it has been very difficult to obtain evidence of acts of intimidation and other offences under the Conspiracy and Protection of Property Act, 1875, the magistrates appear to have had little difficulty in dealing with the cases brought before them. The stipendiary magistrate at West Ham was this week called upon to deal with a charge of intimidating a dock constable at the gate of one of the docks by besetting the place at which he worked, or happened to be, within section 7 (3) of the Act, and the magistrate is reported to have laid down, as a general principle, that picketing is illegal—"that is, picketing in the sense of molesting men to prevent them from going to work, either by using language of a threatening character,

or by hanging about the docks." This is undoubtedly a correct view, since section 7 (1) distinctly forbids intimidation, such as seems to have been extensively practised at the dock gates in a manner which would not be covered by the protection given at the end of the section to attendance "in order merely to obtain or communicate information." The annoyance and following of the newly-hired dock labourers when on their way from the Dock Companies' offices to the railway stations is clearly punishable, under section 7 (5), as following another person "with two or more persons in a disorderly manner in or through any street."

THE LAW OF evidence applicable in actions on bills of exchange has long been settled, and is codified in the Bills of Exchange Act, 1882 (45 & 46 Vict. c. 61), section 30 (2) of which provides that "every holder of a bill is deemed to be a holder in due course, but, if in an action on a bill it is admitted or proved that the acceptance, issue, or subsequent negotiation of the bill is affected with fraud, duress, or force and fear, or illegality, the burden of proof is shifted, unless and until the holder proves that, subsequent to the alleged fraud or illegality, value has in good faith been given for the bill." This section gave rise to some difficulty in the recent case of *Tatum v. Haslar* (23 Q. B. D. 345), which was an action by the indorsee of a bill against the acceptor. At the trial the defendant gave evidence of fraud in the negotiation of the bill, which was held to be sufficient to shift the burden of proof, and the plaintiff then gave evidence of payment of value without notice of the fraud. In summing up the case Mr. Justice FIELD directed the jury that the plaintiff must satisfy them that he gave value, but that the *onus* was on the defendant to prove that the plaintiff took the bill under such circumstances as to invalidate his title to it. He also ruled that the plaintiff was a *bona fide* holder for value if his statement as to the amount paid was true. The jury found a verdict for the defendant, and a divisional court refused to enter judgment for the plaintiff or to direct a new trial. Mr. Justice DENMAN thought that the summing up was too favourable to the plaintiff, since giving value "in good faith" denoted something more than a mere passing of money, and meant giving value "honestly and without any notice of the fraud." The words "admitted or proved" shewed that evidence of any circumstances in the nature of fraud would be sufficient to shift the burden of proof, after which the plaintiff must prove, not only the value, but that it was given in good faith and without notice of fraud. Mr. Justice CHARLES observed that when the Bills of Exchange Act, 1882, was passed there was some uncertainty as to what the plaintiff had to prove after evidence of fraud had been given, and that section 30 (2) had settled that he must prove both value and "good faith," which was defined in section 90 of the Act as doing a thing "honestly."

THE RECENT CASE of *Ex parte Gaze, In re Lane* (37 W. R. 671, 23 Q. B. D. 74), raised a novel question of bankruptcy law—namely, how far the part payment of a debt which is barred by the Statute of Limitations prevents the operation of that enactment, where the payment is a fraudulent preference and the debtor is shortly afterwards adjudicated a bankrupt. The payment on account was made to the creditor about a month before the receiving order, and was accompanied by a letter which would not of itself have been sufficient to prevent the operation of the Statute of Limitations, and in which the debtor, who was then unable to pay his debts as they became due, stated that he had remitted the money to prevent the question of the Statute of Limitations being raised by the trustee in the event of his bankruptcy. The trustee had rejected the creditor's proof, but the county court judge had held that his debt was revived by the part payment. For the trustees it was argued that the payment could not take the case out of the statute, because it was a fraudulent preference within section 48 (1) of the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, a portion of the debt having been paid in full to the prejudice of other creditors; and that, if not a fraudulent preference, it was still void within the subsection as an "obligation incurred" in favour of a single creditor. The court, however, dismissed the appeal without hearing the counsel for the respondent. Mr. Justice CAVE held that the intent to prefer the particular creditor must be proved, in the absence of which evidence the creditor could not have been ordered to refund the instalments. A payment under such circumstances would not

be held to be fraudulent, and would revive the debt, unless it was made with the object of restoring a liability which the debtor had treated as being at an end. In the present case the debtor appeared to have treated the debt as a moral obligation, and never to have intended to deprive the creditor of his remedy. Mr. Justice FIELD went still further, and expressed an opinion that, even if there was a fraudulent preference, and even if the money paid was recoverable from the creditor, it did not necessarily follow that the case was taken out of the Statute of Limitations.

THE QUESTION of the amount of stamp duty payable on a post-dated cheque appears to give the courts almost as much trouble in India as it has done in England. The High Court at Calcutta had recently to decide this question under the following circumstances:—A suit was brought upon a dishonoured cheque, which was dated on the 25th of September, 1888, but which was proved to have been drawn on the 8th of September. The instrument was duly stamped as a cheque, but not as a bill of exchange, but the defendant's counsel contended that it could not be given in evidence, because it was in reality a bill of exchange payable seventeen days after date, and ought to have been stamped as a bill of exchange. Reliance was placed upon section 67 of the Indian Stamp Act (I. of 1879), which imposes a penalty for post-dating a bill of exchange. A cheque is defined in another part of the Act as "a bill of exchange drawn upon a banker and payable on demand." The question was referred by the Recorder of Rangoon to the High Court at Calcutta. It will be remembered that in *Forster v. Mackreth* (15 W. R. 747, L. R. 2 Ex. 163) the Court of Exchequer held that a post-dated cheque was a bill of exchange, the drawing of which was not within the authority of a member of a firm the partners in which had power to draw cheques in its name in the ordinary course of business, but not to draw or accept bills of exchange; but that in *Bull v. O'Sullivan* (L. R. 6 Q. B. 209) the Court of Queen's Bench admitted a post-dated cheque as evidence in an action by the indorsee against the drawer, although it bore only a penny stamp. *Gatty v. Fry* (25 W. R. 306, 2 Ex. D. 265) was decided after the passing of the Stamp Act, 1870 (33 & 34 Vict. c. 97). The Exchequer Division there admitted a post-dated cheque in evidence in an action commenced against the drawer after the date of it, although it bore only a penny stamp, and the holder had taken it with knowledge of the post-dating. Mr. Baron CLEASBY observed that the consideration that the revenue might be defrauded had nothing to do with the question of the admissibility of the evidence, and that the instrument should be admitted if it purported, when tendered in evidence, to be sufficiently stamped. In delivering judgment in *Ramen Chetty v. Mahomed Ghouse* (I. L. R. 16 Cal. 432), Mr. Justice WILSON observed that *Bull v. O'Sullivan* and *Gatty v. Fry* shewed that, to determine whether an instrument is stamped sufficiently for the purpose of being admitted in evidence, the court must look at the document itself, and not consider any collateral circumstances, and that Sir BARNES PEACOCK, when Chief Justice of Calcutta, had laid down precisely the same rule as being applicable in India. The Indian Stamp Act ought to be construed in the same manner as the English Stamp Act, and it was unnecessary to decide whether the cheque was a bill of exchange within section 67, because there was no evidence of intent to defraud. The cheque was, therefore, admissible in evidence.

In *Lowden v. Blakey* (23 Q. B. D. 332) an effort was made to restrict the rule as to privilege applicable to communications with legal advisers. The defendant having obtained a perpetual injunction against the plaintiff in a previous action for the infringement of a trade-mark, had published an advertisement of the proceedings in a trade newspaper, and the present action was brought to recover damages for a libel alleged to be contained in that advertisement. The plaintiff applied for an injunction to restrain the continued publication of the advertisement, and in opposition to this application the defendant filed an affidavit in which he stated that a draft of the advertisement had been submitted to counsel before its publication. A master afterwards made an order, under ord. 31, rr. 12, 13, of R. S. C., 1883, for the production of the draft advertisement submitted to counsel, and an appeal against this order was referred to a divisional court by Mr. Justice WILLS. For the plaintiff it was urged that counsel had not been consulted as to

pending or expected litigation, and reliance was placed upon the judgment of Sir GEORGE JESSEL in *Wheeler v. Le Marchant* (17 Ch. D. 675) as shewing that the principle protecting privileged communications is of a limited character, and "is restricted to the obtaining the assistance of lawyers, as regards the conduct of litigation or the rights to property," but the court held that the draft was privileged, and Mr. Justice DENMAN alluded to the possibility of the defendant having had in view the contingency of an action for libel. He thought that the draft and instructions were privileged according to Sir GEORGE JESSEL's dictum, as communications with regard to the rights of property; but he also thought that the definition there given was not wide enough, and did not define privilege "to its fullest extent." Although the document did not relate to existing litigation, the communication to counsel was undoubtedly made in anticipation of future litigation. The observations of KINDERSLEY, V.C., in *Lawrence v. Campbell* (4 Drew. 485) were precisely in point—"It is not now necessary, as it formerly was, for the purpose of obtaining protection, that the communications should be made either during or relating to an actual, or even to an expected, litigation. It is sufficient if they pass as professional communications in a professional capacity." That dictum was approved by Lord SELBORNE in *Minet v. Morgan* (21 W. R. 467, L. R. 8 Ch. 361), and the latter case was a direct authority for the present one. With regard to the question raised as to the right to production under order 31, Mr. Justice DENMAN pointed out that the only effect of giving a notice under rule 15 of that order was, that the documents, if not produced, could not be given in evidence at the trial, but the draft advertisement was not material to the defendant's case, and was not admissible in evidence, and therefore that rule had no practical bearing upon the case.

THE RIGHT TO THE POSSESSION AND PRODUCTION OF DEEDS.

VI.—(3) COVENANTS FOR PRODUCTION.

Prior to the Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874 (37 & 38 Vict. c. 78), which came into operation on the 7th of August, 1874, a purchaser, to whom the muniments of title were not handed, was not bound to rely on his equitable right to production of them, but was entitled to a valid covenant for their production: *Barclay v. Raine* (1 Sim. & St. 449), *Cooper v. Emery* (1 Phill. 388), *Yates v. Plumbe* (2 Sm. & Gif. 174).

The Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874, provides (section 2 (3)) that

"The liability of the vendor to furnish the purchaser with a legal covenant to produce and furnish copies of documents of title shall not be an objection to title in case the purchaser will, on the completion of the contract, have an equitable right to the production of such documents."

The language of this section is not all that can be desired. The first question that arises on it is, What is the meaning of "a legal covenant"? It probably means a covenant the burden of which runs at law with the land retained by the covenantor. The question whether the burden of a covenant for production runs with the land—in other words, whether, if A., seised in fee of Blackacre and Whiteacre, held under a common title, sells Blackacre and conveys it to B., and covenants for production, B. can enforce the covenant as against the assigns of A.—i.e., persons who own Whiteacre claiming under A.—is one of considerable difficulty. The opinion of Lord St. LEONARDS, which will be found in Sugden's V. & P. 483, is unequivocal. He says: "The title deeds, as things which go with the land—descend with it, pass with it by conveyance without being named—may properly be deemed so connected with the land itself as to make a covenant by the owner of the land retaining the deeds bind the alienees of those lands. This is warranted by principle, and is denied by no authority. It cannot be considered as a covenant entered into by a stranger, because the connection of the two estates under a common title relieves the case from that difficulty. The title deeds comprise both the estates, and the proprietors of them have a common interest in the deeds; the possession of the deeds can hardly be a joint one, and therefore they are delivered to one, subject to a liability to be produced to the other. They will descend and go over with the lands with which they are thus held as an incident to them, and the subsequent acquirers of the lands

will take the deeds by force of the law operating on the contract by which they are to retain them. But, taking them with the lands by that contract, they must hold them subject to the burthen imposed by that contract. Why, then, should not the covenant run with the lands in their hands? The deeds, the subject of the covenant, go with those lands as a benefit, and why should not the covenant run with them as a burthen? The covenant would not run with the lands in the hands of the person to whom the deeds are to be produced were it not for the quality of the deeds, a part as it were of the inheritance. They pass as things attendant upon the inheritance, and in truth they are the sinews of the inheritance (*Lifford's case*, 11 Rep. 506); they are not chattels, but an inheritance as the land is, and of the nature of the land, and go to the heir (1 Bro. Abr. 1386, pl. 53) as incident to it (*Strode v. Blackburn*, 3 Ves. jun. 225), and the owner may make the deeds appendant to a manor (1 Bro. Abr. 1386, pl. 53). Without this quality, the covenant would be one merely in gross. If, then, this quality makes the covenant by law run with the land, whose possessor is to have only the production of the deeds, and not the custody of them, surely the actual possession of the deeds ought to impress the covenant, as against the covenantor and those claiming under him the lands retained, with the character of a real covenant, so that it may run with those lands. The deeds are a vital portion of the inheritance, which the owner may bind by a covenant, and therefore it would seem to be the better opinion that the covenant in question runs with the land in both directions, so as to bind at law the holders of the one estate, and to benefit at law the holders of the other."

The late Mr. DART held the same opinion as Lord St. LEONARDS with some hesitation. In the five first editions of his *Vendors and Purchasers*, he says:—"In order that the covenants for production may run with the land in respect of which the deeds are retained, it is necessary that the covenantor should be seised of the legal estate in such land." But he adds in a note:—"But even then the result is not free from doubt": see 5th ed., p. 556.

The learned editors of the sixth edition take a different view. They say:—"As regards covenants for title and production, &c., of title deeds, they stand on the same footing as other affirmative covenants, the benefit of which run with the land though the burden does not": see p. 876.

The question whether the burden of a covenant for production runs with the land must be distinguished from the questions—(1) whether a purchaser taking with notice of the covenant is bound to perform it, and (2) whether the heirs and executors of the covenantor are liable in damages in case the covenant is broken.

No reason is given by the learned editors of the sixth edition of Dart's *Vendors and Purchasers* for the opinion that they express, that the burden of the covenant does not run with the land. We can only conjecture that they formed their opinion on certain cases that have been recently decided as to the burden of covenants running with the land, and which will be found collected and commented on in Smith's *L.C.*, 9th ed., p. 90 *et seq.* It will be found that all these cases are decided on one or the other of the grounds following:—

(1) That the effect of holding the covenants to bind the land would be that the assignee of the land would find himself liable to perform covenants of the existence of which he was ignorant, and which perhaps would have prevented him from taking a conveyance of the land if he had known of them.

(2) That the covenant creates some interest in the land which may arise at a time too remote having regard to the rules against perpetuities.

It is submitted that a covenant for production is not obnoxious to either of these objections.

The first objection does not apply; for an intending purchaser from the vendor or from an assign of the vendor would, on the inspection of the deeds, find that his vendor was not in possession of all the property comprised in them, he would therefore be put on inquiry whether his vendor was not, and whether he himself would not on the completion of the purchase become, liable to an obligation, arising either by virtue of the equitable right to production already discussed or by virtue of express contract, to produce the deeds.

The second objection does not apply, for a covenant for production does not create any interest in property, and therefore cannot be obnoxious to the rules against perpetuities.

It should also be observed that a covenant for production, in those cases at least where in the opinion of Lord St. LEONARDS the burden of it would run with the land, creates no new liability; if the covenant was not entered into the purchaser would, in equity, have the right to the production of, and persons claiming under the vendor would be liable to produce, the title deeds retained by the vendor; the covenant does not alter the nature of this liability, all that it does is to render it more easy to be enforced by the purchaser, and possibly to give additional remedies in case of its not being performed. Probably the burden of a covenant which only states explicitly an equitable liability cast on the vendor in the absence of the covenant must be borne by the persons who would have to perform that liability.

There is hardly any express authority on the question whether the burden of a covenant for production runs with the land. In *Barclay v. Raine* (1 Sim. & St. 449) land was sold to THRING, the deeds were delivered to him, and he covenanted to produce them to the vendor, who retained part of the land to which they related. This part was subsequently sold to BARCLAY, under whom the plaintiffs derived title. An attested copy of the covenant for production was delivered to BARCLAY; but at the date of the action a considerable part of the copy was destroyed, and the original deed of covenant was lost. THRING sold his property to SLADE, under whom J. S., the owner at the time of the action, claimed. SLADE mortgaged to THRING, who retained the deeds. The plaintiffs applied to J. S. to give them a new deed of covenant, which he refused. Then THRING covenanted to produce the deeds while they remained in his possession, and afterwards executed another deed admitting the execution of the original deed of covenant, and that the deeds comprised in it were in his possession. The plaintiffs contracted to sell to the defendant, and on his declining to complete on the ground that no valid covenant for production existed, instituted a suit for specific performance, which was refused, LEACH, V.C., saying, according to the report—"THRING's covenant to produce does not run with the land, nor is it pretended that SLADE had notice of that covenant." It is stated, however, in 9 Sweet's *Bythewood*, 3rd ed., p. 98, that "his honour on a subsequent occasion denied his having used the expression there imputed to him. He did not say that THRING's first covenant did not run with the land (he thought that it clearly did), but that the second covenant was restricted to the period of his being mortgagee."

Bearing in mind that it was never disputed that the benefit of the covenant ran with the land, the fact that a purchaser was not bound to rely on his equitable right to production, but was entitled to a valid covenant for production, affords strong reason for thinking that the burden of the covenant runs with the land. It is hardly possible to conceive that the court would hold that the question whether a purchaser was bound to accept a title depended on whether he would be entitled to damages from a person who had, perhaps many years before, covenanted to produce the deeds on their not being produced by the person holding them, while it is quite intelligible to suppose that the fact of the deeds being expressly bound by a covenant would render a purchaser more safe than if he had to rely on his equitable right of production; as, if they were bound by the covenant, he would only have to shew that he owned the land to enforce production, while, if he was thrown on his equitable right, he would have to shew his title.

On the whole, we are of opinion that the view of Lord St. LEONARDS is correct, and that the effect of the covenant for production is to bind the deeds in the hands of every person holding the lands as the assign of the covenantor. The question is still of considerable, though it is daily losing its, importance, as in practice covenants for production are being superseded by statutory acknowledgments under the *Conveyancing Act, 1881*.

In Dart's *V. & P.*, 6th ed., p. 160, it is said, "It is by no means clear what is meant by 'an equitable right to production' (i.e., as mentioned in the *Vendor and Purchaser Act, 1874*, s. 2), or how such right can be enforced, except perhaps against an owner of deeds who took them with notice of the liability to produce them." Probably the "equitable right" is the right that we have already discussed. It appears from the cases that we have cited that the enforcement of the right does not depend on the doctrine of notice, but the reader will bear in mind the high authority of the editors of that edition of Mr. DART's book.

In the same book it is stated that (at p. 160) "the Act does not contain any definition of the word 'land,'" and that "the

rule cannot, it is conceived, extend to the sale of an incorporeal hereditament."

The learned editors appear to have forgotten that the meaning of the word "land" in an Act of Parliament, unless there are words to restrict the meaning, which is not the case in the Act in question, is "messuages, tenements, and hereditaments, houses and buildings, of any tenure" (13 & 14 Vict. c. 21, s. 4). The word "land," therefore, in the Vendor and Purchaser Act appears to include incorporeal hereditaments. Possibly, however, the learned editors consider that the word "hereditaments" in 13 & 14 Vict. c. 21 must be construed as something *ejusdem generis* with land. The objection to this view is that "messuages, land, houses, and buildings," appear to include all corporeal hereditaments, so that if this construction is adopted the word "hereditaments" would be superfluous, and it is submitted that this construction cannot be correct.

HOW TO PREPARE A DEBTOR'S STATEMENT OF AFFAIRS IN BANKRUPTCY.

PREFERENTIAL CREDITORS.

THE heading of list E, indicates that claims for "rent, rates, taxes, and wages" are entitled to certain preferences, but the extent of this preference is limited by various considerations, such as the limitation as to time and amount specified by the Bankruptcy Act, 1883, (sections 40 (1), a, b, c, 41, and 42) and by the nature and value of the assets in the estate. Preference or priority is not always equivalent to payment in full, as many people seem to think.

In making out list E, therefore, it is better to enter the names and descriptions of creditors, the nature of claims, the period (six months, three months, or so many weeks, as the case may be) during which the claim accrued, and date when due in the usual way, but in the first instance only to enter the figures in the first of the three money columns, which is headed "amount of claim." Of the total amount of each preference claim, the "amount payable in full" or "difference ranking for dividend" must be afterwards determined by the amount and nature of the available assets and by the limitations of the Act before mentioned. The figures to be transferred to the two other money columns, bearing the headings just quoted, should be inserted, and the various columns added up, after the lists G. (Property) and H. (Book Debts) have been completed, and when the totals of all the lists are being carried into the front or balance sheet, which shews a summary of the various liabilities and assets.

Rent is the most frequent item on list E. A landlord can only claim "payment in full" for one year, unless a distress has been levied before the commencement of bankruptcy (section 42). In any case the rent is only "payable in full" up to the amount of the debtor's property on the premises for which rent is claimed. A claim for rent of £50 in respect of premises where the realizable value of the debtor's property was estimated to produce (as set out in list G.) only £40 would be entered as £40 payable in full and £10 ranking for dividend. The landlord has his rights over property on the premises liable to distress, but he has no title to payment in full out of the debtor's other property or book debts. If the landlord has taken possession and sheriff's charges have been incurred, this item (as estimated) should appear under the claim for rent, to which it refers.

Should the debtor be the tenant of premises where he has no property, any rent due in respect thereof should appear on list E, but only in the first and third money columns.

Rates and taxes "not exceeding in the whole one year's assessment" (section 40) may always be treated as payable in full. Claims for gas or water supplied are not preferential, in London or generally, and should be entered on list A, not on list E, as is often done. In Birmingham or other towns where the municipality supply gas and water the case is different, and these items would then be preference claims entered on list E.

Salary or wages of any clerk, workman, or labourer (whether for time or piecework), in respect to services rendered to the debtor, are preferential only for four months immediately preceding the date of the receiving order, and not for a sum exceeding £50. For instance, where six months' salary is owing to an *employé* at £100 a year, only four months', or £33 6s. 8d., must be entered as payable in full, the balance of £16 13s. 4d. ranking for dividend. If the salary is for six months at £200 a year, not four months' salary (£66 13s. 4d.), but only £50 is payable in full, the remaining £50 ranking for dividend.

In the case of an articulated clerk or indentured apprentice (section 41) the trustee may pay such sum (subject to an appeal to the court) as he thinks reasonable, regard being had to the amount paid by him (the clerk or apprentice), and to the time during which he served and to the other circumstances of the case.

Rates, taxes, and wages claim against the whole assets of every kind as distinguished from the landlord's restriction to the value of goods on the premises.

When the assets lists are finished, turn back to list E, extend the items of the "claim" money column into the other two, according to above instructions. Add up all three columns, setting down the totals to be transferred to the front or summary sheet. The two last columns, being merely sub-divisions of the first, or claim column, their totals added together should agree with the total amount of claims.

LIST "E."									
PREFERENTIAL CREDITORS FOR RENT, RATES, TAXES, AND WAGES.									
No.	Name of Creditor.	Address and Occupation.	Nature of Claim.	Period during which claim accrued due.	Date when due.	Amount of claim.	Amount payable in full.	Difference ranking for Dividend.	
1	Butler, G. ...	17, Lansdowne-road, Hackney	Rent of 1, Eng-land-lane	Sept. to Christ- mas, 1888	25th December, 1888	30 0 0	30 0 0	...	
2	Green, Geo. (col- lector)	74, Kings-street, Hackney	Queen's taxes	Half-year	January, 1889 ...	7 12 6	7 12 6	...	
3	Tibbatts, Thos. (collector)	Vestry Hall, Hackney	Poor's rates, &c.	Half-year	January, 1889 ...	5 4 0	5 4 0	...	
4	London, George	10, Goschen-street, Islington, N., clerk	Wages ...	Five months at £12 per month	23rd February, 1889	60 0 0	48 0 0	12 0 0	
						102 16 6	90 16 6	12 0 0	

Signature, JOHN BULL.

CORRESPONDENCE.

OFFICIAL RECEIVER'S POWERS.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—One is accustomed now in bankruptcy matters to find that the official receiver has very extensive powers, but the following case has not come under my notice before:—Bankruptcy petition; statement of affairs by debtor shewing net assets over £600; order for summary administration made by court on the application of official receiver after valuation; prompt realisation of the bulk of the assets and over £300 received by the official receiver prior to the first meeting; first meeting, at which majority of creditors, representing nearly three-fourths in value, desire further investigation and nominate one of their number as trustee; their wishes overruled because the case has been, through mistake of official receiver, declared a summary one, and the necessary majority being three-fourths in value. Result—official receiver left in possession to divide assets and conduct (if he will) a thorough investigation into debtor's affairs. H. Y. London, E.C., Sept. 11.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

Before the Vacation Judge.

OVERY v. GILMAN, SPENCE, & CO. (LIM.)—Pollock, B., 4th September.

NUISANCE—STEAM ENGINE—INJUNCTION—DELAY.

In this case a question arose as to the expediency of granting an interim injunction in a case of nuisance. The plaintiff moved to restrain the defendants, who were brewers, from working a steam engine so as to cause a nuisance to the plaintiff. He alleged that the steam, noise, and vibration of the engine caused an intolerable nuisance. Counsel for the plaintiff said that the nuisance had recently increased. Counsel for the defendants said that the engine had been working since April and the plaintiff was too late to get an interim injunction.

POLLOCK, B., said he could not adopt the reasons of counsel for the plaintiff. The rule as to a person coming for an interim injunction was not based on the grounds of estoppel or acquiescence, but he must come quickly and find out in particular when the nuisance began. This was not a case of breach of covenant, but a nuisance. *Sic utere tuo ut alienum non laedas*. A man who came forward demanding a peremptory remedy must shew that he had used due diligence. This was not a case where the character of the business had been altered, as where an engine had been put up where there had not been one before, but it was a long continued nuisance which had gone on for months. The affidavits stated that the nuisance had recently increased, but they did not condescend to specify particular times or periods. The injunction must be refused, the costs to be costs in the action.—COUNSEL, E. Cutler, Q.C., and Johnston Edwards; Marten, Q.C., and A. D. MacLaren. SOLICITORS, Morse, Hewitt, & Farman; A. R. Gillman.

In re COLORADO GOLD, SILVER, AND LEAD RECOVERY SYNDICATE (LIMITED)—Pollock, B., 11th September.

COMPANY—RESOLUTION TO WIND UP VOLUNTARILY—VALIDITY—DEMUR-
RABLE PETITION—COMPANIES ACT, 1862 (25 & 26 VICT. C. 89), s. 79.

In this case a question arose as to whether the petition presented by a shareholder to wind up the company was demurrable. The petition was presented by the holder of 530 fully paid-up shares in the company, asking that the company might be wound up. The petition stated that on the 23rd of August, 1889, at an extraordinary general meeting of the company, a resolution was passed that the company be wound up voluntarily. Twenty-five persons were present at the meeting, and eight voted for, and six against the resolution. The chairman ruled that the resolution was passed. There was no poll. The petition also stated that Mr. Edward Augustus Dando named Mr. Smith as liquidator, but no resolution was passed appointing a liquidator. The petitioner asked for a compulsory order, or for an order continuing the voluntary liquidation under the supervision of the court, on the ground that it was just and equitable that the company should be wound up. Counsel for the company said that the petition was demurrable. Under section 79 of the Companies Act, 1862, a company could be wound up under certain circumstances, none of these were existent here. The petitioner fell back on clause 5 of section 79, "just and equitable." As to that he referred to *In re Gold Co.* (27 W. R. 341, 11 Ch. D. 701), *In re Brynmawr Coal Co.* (W. N., 1877, p. 45), *In re Horbury Bridge Co.* (27 W. R. 433, 11 Ch. D. 109), *In re Wear Engine Works Co.* (30 W. R. 316, 10 Ch. App. 188), *In re Risa Gold Washing Co.* (27 W. R. 715, 11 Ch. D. 36), *In re German Dats Coffee Co.* (30 W. R. 717, 20 Ch. D. 169). For the petitioners it was said that no declaration had been made by the chairman that the resolution had been carried in accordance with section 51 of the Companies Act, 1862; the chairman had only ruled that the resolution was carried. The resolution therefore was not valid. Then Mr. Edward Augustus Dando had such an overwhelming influence in the company that no other shareholder had a voice in the proceedings.

POLLOCK, B., said that he did not wish to be considered as approving what had been done, or thinking it desirable. But what were the facts? The petitioner asked to wind up the company or to continue the voluntary

liquidation under the supervision of the court. It was incumbent upon the petitioner to bring his case within section 72 of the Companies Act, 1862. The only real point was as to the proper passing of the resolution to wind up voluntarily. The chairman ruled, instead of making a declaration, that the resolution was carried. In his opinion there was no distinction between the chairman ruling that the resolution was carried and his declaring. The word ruling was sufficient to shew that the chairman had exercised his judgment. As to the other matter, the petition was never intended to charge fraud, or that the proceedings were a sham. He did not find anything to bring the company within the Act, and the petition must be dismissed, with costs.—COUNSEL, Marten, Q.C., and Bramwell Davis; Seward Brice, Q.C., and Herbert Brown; A. Biddall. SOLICITORS, Seal; C. S. Gover.

LEGAL NEWS.

APPOINTMENTS.

Mr. EVELYN BROOKSBANK TATTERSHALL, solicitor, of 25, Old Jewry, has been appointed Deputy-Registrar of the City of London Court. Mr. Tattershall was admitted a solicitor in 1873.

Mr. ARTHUR CUTHBERT LANGHAM, solicitor, of 10, Bartlett's-buildings, and of Beckenham, has been appointed Deputy-Coroner for the City of London. Mr. Langham is the son of Mr. Samuel Frederick Langham, solicitor, coroner for the City of London and the Duchy of Lancaster. He was admitted a solicitor in 1884.

Mr. ALFRED EWEN, solicitor (of the firm of Ewen & Roberts), of the Outer Temple, and of Luton, has been appointed Official Receiver in Bankruptcy for the districts of Chelmsford and Colchester, in succession to Mr. Charles Godfrey, resigned. Mr. Ewen has been for several years Official Receiver in Bankruptcy for the districts of Luton, St. Albans, and Hertford. He was admitted a solicitor in 1875.

Mr. JOHN BOROUGH, solicitor (of the firm of Barber, Currey, & Borough), of Derby, has been appointed Joint Secretary to the Bishop of Southwell. Mr. Borough is registrar of the Diocese of Southwell, and registrar of the Belper and Ilkeston County Courts. He was admitted a solicitor in 1856.

Mr. DOYLEY SCOTT RANSOM, solicitor, of Nottingham, has been appointed Joint Secretary to the Bishop of Southwell. Mr. Ransom was admitted a solicitor in 1887.

Mr. GEORGE DRINKWATER, barrister, has been appointed Crown Receiver and Seneschal of the Isle of Man. Mr. Drinkwater is the eldest son of Sir William Lucius Drinkwater, First Deemster of the Isle of Man, and was born in 1852. He was educated at Eton and at Trinity College, Cambridge. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in April, 1887, and he has practised in the Chancery Division.

CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIPS.

DISSOLUTIONS.

CHARLES EDWARD ABBOTT and VINCENT MORSHEAD HOLT BEEVER, solicitors (C. E. Abbott & Holt Beever), of 36, Lincoln's-inn-fields, London. The said Vincent Morshead Holt Beever will continue to carry on the business at the same place and in his own name only. June 24.

REGINALD AMPHLETT PARKER, FRANK ROWLEY PARKER, ANDREW GORING PRITCHARD, and WILLIAM ARTHUR SHARPE, solicitors and parliamentary agents, of 12, New-court, Carey-street, London, and 9, Bridge-street, Westminster. Aug 31. [Gazette, Sept 6.]

GENERAL.

According to *Kemp's Mercantile Gazette* the number of failures in England and Wales gazetted during the week ending September 7 was 76. The number in the corresponding week of last year was 91, shewing a decrease of 15, being a net decrease in 1889, to date, of 139.

WINDING UP NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Sept. 6.
JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

LAW COURTS CHAMBERS CO., LIMITED.—Petn for winding up, presented Sept 4, directed to be heard before Pollock, B., on Wednesday, Sept 18 Beaumont & Son, Lincoln's inn fields, solors for petners

UNLIMITED IN CHANCERY.

SOVEREIGN LIFE ASSURANCE CO.—Chitty, J., has fixed Sept 17, at 11, at his chambers, for the appointment of an official liquidator

TAUNTON WESLEYAN COLLEGIATE INSTITUTION.—Petn for winding up, presented Sept 3, directed to be heard before Kay, J., on Saturday, Oct 26 Rowcliffes & Co, Bedford row, agents for Channing, Taunton, solor for petner

COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

MANCHESTER AND DISTRICT COMMERCIAL CAFE CO., LIMITED.—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 31, directed to be heard at the Chancery Offices, Winkley st, Preston, on Sept 17 Barrell, Liverpool, solor for petner

VULCAN STEEL AND FORGE CO., LIMITED.—Petn for winding up, presented Sept 5, directed to be heard at the Chancery Offices, Winkley st, Preston, on Tuesday, Sept 17 Mather, Liverpool, agent for Ackerley & Son, Wigan, solors for petners

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES.

SUSPENDED FOR THREE MONTHS.

BUD OF HOVE LODGE, United Free Gardeners' Friendly Society, Boiler Makers' Atlas, Lancaster rd, Preston, Lancaster Sept 3
GAILLANT SERGEANT LODGE, Free Gardeners' Friendly Society, Brickmakers' Atlas Inn, Newhall lane, Preston, Lancaster Sept 3

LILY OF THE VALLEY LODGE, Mutual Independent Druids Sick and Burial Society, Wellington Hotel, Hareholme, Rosendale Sept 3
MOSS ROSE LODGE, Free Gardeners Friendly Society, Star and Garter Inn, Brook st, Preston, Lancaster Sept 3
ROSE OF THE VALLEY LODGE, Free Gardeners Friendly Society, Welcome Inn, Duke st East, Preston, Lancaster Sept 3
London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Sept. 10.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

BRITISH AND COLONIAL LAND ASSOCIATION, LIMITED—Pollock, B. has fixed Thursday, Sept. 19, at 11, at the chambers of the Vacation Judge, for the appointment of an official liquidator.

THE DEWSBURY GRAMMAR SCHOOL ASSOCIATION, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Nov 6, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Thomas Ward, Westgate chambers, Dewsbury Ward, Dewsbury.

WOLVERTON, STONY STRATFORD, AND DISTRICT TRAMROADS CO. LIMITED—Petin for winding up, presented Sept 3, directed to be heard before North, J., on Oct 16 Nash & Co, Queen st, solors for petin.

COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

BRITISH CONGO CO. LIMITED—The Vice-Chancellor has, by an order dated Aug 8, appointed John Holt, 28, Prescon's row, Liverpool, to be official liquidator. Creditors are required, on or before Oct 8, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to the above. Thursday, Oct 17, at 12, is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the said claims.

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

FISHERMEN'S SICK AND BURIAL SOCIETY, White Lion Inn, Whiteacre, Norfolk Sept 5

HARDWICK ANNUAL BENEVOLENT SOCIETY, Bristol rd, Standish, Gloucester Sept 5

PROVENCE ROSE LODGE, United Order of Free Gardeners, Fir Tree Inn, West-leigh, Leigh, Lancaster Sept 4

SNITTERFIELD DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE INDUSTRIAL AND PROVIDENT SOCIETY, LIMITED, 2, Brook st, Snitterfield, Warwick Sept 5

TY TRIST COLLIERIES' SICK AND WORKMEN'S SICK AND FUNERAL FUND SOCIETY, Freemasons' Arms, Castle st, Tredegar st, Monmouth Sept 5

CREDITORS' NOTICES.

UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Sept. 3.

AKED, ROBERT DEAN, Leaveden, Heris, Gent. Nov 2. Thompson & Groom, Raymond bldgs, Gray's inn

BALDWIN, CATHERINE, Barnard Castle, Durham. Oct 1. Holmes, Barnard Castle

BOYES, WILLIAM, Norton, Yorks, Gent. Oct 25. Simpson, Malton

BURNELL, EDWARD, John st, Minorics, Licensed Victualler. Oct 12. Harris, Coleman st

CATTON, HENRY, Bury st, St James's, Courier. Oct 31. Clarkson & Co, Carter lane, Doctors' Commons

COTTON, MARY, Blaby, Leices. Sept 28. Wright & Co, Leicester

GEE, JOHN, Rosemary ter, Mortlake, Surrey, Gent. Sept 30. Croase & Sons, Lancaster place, Strand

HEAD, CHARLES, Regent st. Oct 15. White, Southampton st, Bloomsbury

ISITT VIRGINIA LAVINIA, North Bank, Regent's pk. Oct 3. Keen & Co, Knight rider st

JAMES, SAMUEL, Blaenavon, Mon, Dairyman. Nov 14. Watkins, Pontypool

JUPP, HENRY, Hanover st, Pimlico, Professional Cricketer. Oct 1. Clarkson & Co, Carter lane, Doctors' commons

LAMBERT, HARRIETT, Wimborne, Dorset. Oct 15. Dibben, Wimborne

PHYSICK, WILLIAM, Alphington, Devon, retired Market Gardener. Oct 9. Ward, Exeter

PRYCE, JOHN, Lillie rd, Fulham, Gent. Oct 16. Pryce, Bedford row

RAINE, JOHN, Barnard Castle Moor, Durham, Farmer. Oct 1. Holmes, Barnard Castle

SAVAGE, MARY ANN, Frindsbury, Kent. Oct 11. Arnold & Co, Rochester

SAWDON, WILLIAM, Kingston upon Hull, retired Gardener. Oct 1. Tenney & Co, Hull

STEVENSON, JAMES, Great Harwood, Lancs, Blacksmith. Sept 30. Sharples, Accrington

WATTS, WILLIAM, Freshford, Somerset, Grocer. Oct 14. Bush & Son, Trowbridge, Wilts

WEBSTER, ISAAC, Stalybridge, Chester, Farmer. Oct 14. Innes, Stalybridge

WEBSTER, WILLIAM, Moorgate st, Wine Merchant. Nov 1. Waller & Sons, Coleman st

WHITWORTH, JOHN, Royston, Lancs. Oct 8. Mellor, Oldham

WILDGOOSE, RICHARD, Sleaford, Lincs, Auctioneer. Oct 1. Thompson & Sons, Grantham

WIGHTMAN, LYDIA, Holly House, East Dulwich. Nov 10. Gregson, Angel ct, Throgmorton st

WILKINSON, RICHARD, sen, Bredbury, Chester, Brick Manufacturer. Oct 10. Johnson & Johnsons, Stockport

WILKINSON, SAMUEL, Walsall, Solicitor. Oct 1. Wilkinson & Son, Walsall

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Sept. 6.

ARMITAGE, JOSEPH JOHN, Pendleton, near Manchester, Esq. Oct 24. Wood & Co, Manchester

BELLAMY, HENRY EDWARD VAUX, Moorgate st, Secretary of Public Companies. Oct 17. Wood & Co, Raymond bldgs, Gray's inn

BRATBY, WILLIAM, Ravensdale Park, Derby, Farmer. Oct 14. Bishton, Leek

BROWN, JOHN, Bedford, Gent. Oct 16. Stone & Co, Leicester

BROWN, MARY, Epsom, Surrey. Sept 26. Page & Scorer, New inn

BURT, ROBERT KINGSTON, Fetter lane, Printer. Dec 5. Brown & Co., Finsbury pavement

CALLOW, THOMAS, Upton, Northampton, Farmer. Oct 29. Wyman, Peterborough

CAFFS, WILLIAM THOMAS, Spalding, Merchant. Sept 30. Maples & Son, Spalding

CLABBURN, WILLIAM HOUGHTON, Thorpe next Norwich, Esq. Sept 30. Coaks & Co, Norwich

CLARK, MARGARET, Whitehaven. Sept 23. Mason & Thompson, Whitehaven

FUSSELL, HENRY DAVIES, Amroth Castle, Pembroke. Nov 1. Thorowgood & Co, Copthall ct, Throgmorton st

GLADSTONE, CHARLES HENRY BUCHAN, Newick, Sussex, Esq. Oct 4. Cobbold & Woolley, Chancery lane

GLYDE, JOHN JONATHAN, Stalbridge, Dorset, Grocer. Sept 29. Newman & Co, Yeovil and Clement's inn

GRIFFIN, THOMAS FLOWER, Stapleton, Glos, Farmer. Sept 30. Stanley & Co, Bristol

HEARN, SAMUEL, sen, Chesbam, Bucks, Retired Tradesman. Oct 5. Cheese, Pall Mall and Chesbam

JONES, EMMA, Leyton. Sept 23. Graham, Lonsdale chbrs, Chancery lane

JONES, RICHARD, Woolton, Lancs, Retired Victualler. Nov 1. Mason & Grier-son, Liverpool

KREBIAW, ALEXANDER SAMUEL, Rochdale, Beer Retailer. Nov 1. Stott & Co, Rochdale

LACON, Sir EDMUND HENRY KNOWLES, Ormesby St Margaret, Norfolk, Baronet. Oct 19. Waton, Great Yarmouth

LANCASTER, WILLIAM, Derby, Plumber. Sept 20. Moody & Woolley, Derby

LOMAX, JOHN, Orme sq, Bayswater, Esq. Oct 24. Wood & Co, Manchester

LORD, JOHN, Haborne, Staffs, Gent. Oct 5. Glaisher & Porter, Birmingham

METZGAR, CHARLES, St Saviour's rd, Brixton, Esq., F.R.C.S. Oct 15. Bannister, Basinghall st

MILLWARD, JOHN, The Firs, Birmingham, Scale Beam Manufacturer. Sept 30. Colmore, Birmingham

PAYNE, SUSANNAH, Spalding. Sept 30. Maples & Son, Spalding

PORTER, ELIZABETH, Upton st, Manchester. Oct 7. Dixon, Manchester

RAYNER, WILLIAM FREDERICK, Campbell rd, Bow, Licensed Victualler. Oct 10. Crossman & Prichard, Theobald's rd, Gray's inn

RICHMOND, WILLIAM CLIFFE, Malmesbury, Wilts, Carpenter. Oct 21. Clark & Smith, Malmesbury

ROBERTSON, JAMES, Brynmawr, Brecon, Scotch Draper. Oct 5. Powell, Brynmawr

ROYNON, FREDERICK, Carlton Tavern, Brixton, Licensed Victualler. Oct 5. Crossman & Prichard, Theobald's rd, Gray's inn

SEABLE, Monsignore FRANCIS CANON, Shoreham, Sussex, Clerk in Holy Orders. Oct 16. Arnold & Co, Carey st, Lincoln's inn

SEELY, WALTER DAKING, Clyde st, South Kensington. Oct 7. Hewlett & Preston, Raymond bldgs, Gray's inn

SHELTON, JOHN THOMAS, Kempston, Beds, Gilder. Oct 4. Jessopp & Son, Bedford

SINDALL, JOHN, Spalding, Farmer. Sept 30. Maples & Son, Spalding

SLATER, JOSEPH, Over, Chester, Farmer. Oct 16. Cooke & Sons, Winsford

SWAINE, CAROLINE FRANCES, Gomersal, Yorks. Sept 29. Mossman & Rawson, Bradford

SWANBROOK, WILLIAM, Birmingham, Wedding Ring Manufacturer. Oct 5. Ansell & Ashford, Birmingham

TAYLOR, GEORGE CAVENDISH, Elvaston pl, Esq. Oct 15. Sladen & Wing, Delahay st, Westminster

WATKINS, LOUISA MARIA, Clyde st, South Kensington. Oct 3. Malcolm, Leeds

WIGGINS, WILLIAM, Godalming, Surrey, Coal Merchant. Nov 2. Day, Godalming

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Sept. 10.

ADAMS, Sir FRANCIS OTTIWELL, K.C.M.G., C.B., Albemarle st, Piccadilly. Oct 24. Pyke & Parrott, Lincoln's inn fields

BONSER, MANUEL, Sheffield, Brassfounder. Oct 12. Ibbotson, Sheffield

BOSCAWEN, Hon and Rev JOHN TOWNSHEND, Probus, Cornwall. Nov 1. Bos-cawen, Liverpool

BULLEN, MARY, Formby, Lancs. Oct 15. Weld, Liverpool

CALLOW, THOMAS, Upton, Northampton, Farmer. Oct 29. Wyman, Peterborough

DILWORTH, WILLIAM, Clitheroe, Lancs, Yeoman. Oct 10. Easthams & Aitken, Clitheroe

ELLIS, MORDECAI, Lightcliffe, Halifax, Gent. Oct 12. Chambers & Chambers, Brighouse

FLETCHER, MARY, Wilson st, Winchmore Hill. Oct 7. Skewes-Cox, Red Lion sq

GORDON, Sir JAMES DAVIDSON, Travellers' Club, Pall Mall, K.C.S.I. Oct 1. Farrer & Co, Lincoln's inn fields

HARRISON, SAMUEL, Thomssett, Derby, formerly Licensed Victualler. Oct 10. Johnson & Johnsons, Stockport

HAYNES, WILLIAM, Woodstock, Oxford, Hotel Keeper. Oct 31. Hawkins & Co, Woodstock

JOHN, THOMAS, Falmouth, Actuary and Secretary of the Savings Bank. Oct 31. Tilly, Falmouth

JOWETT, SARAH, Morecambe, Lancs. Oct 21. Morgan & Morgan, Bradford

LEEFE, JOHN EWANK, Coatham, Yorks. Oct 1. Meek, Middlesborough

LENG, JOHN, Lockwood, Huddersfield, Plumber. Sept 29. Flint, Derby

LITTLE, THOMAS HENRY, Cradley, Hereford, Esq. Oct 15. Hyde, Worcester

MAJOR, ROBERT, Stogumber, Somerset, Retired Tailor. Sept 23. Pinchard & Ains, Taunton

NICHOLSON, ROBERT, St Bees, Cumberland, Gent. Oct 1. Brockbank & Co, Whitehaven

PURNELL, WILLIAM HENRY, Hopton rd, Stroatham, Gent. Oct 31. Gwynn & Co, Bristol

RISBY, ELLEN, formerly Nurse, St Paul's, Bristol. Oct 25. Benson & Co, Bristol

SAXBY, WILLIAM, Ramsgate, Gent. Nov 7. O. & A. Daniel, Ramsgate

SHAW, MARY, Kilner Bank, Huddersfield. Oct 21. Armitage, Huddersfield

SIMS, JOHN, Bailbrook, Somerset, Gent. Oct 9. Tittley, Bath

SWIFT, MARY ANN, Old Tafford, Lancs. Oct 5. Lancashire, Manchester

THOMPSON, JOHN, Middleton in Teesdale, Durham, Yeoman. Nov 1. Kidson & Co, Sunderland

TREGO, WILLIAM HENRY BEANE, New Broad st, Varnish Manufacturer. Oct 20. Miller & Co, Copthall ct

VERNON, ADOLPHUS GUSTAVUS, 1st Line Vassili Ostroff, St Petersburg. Dec 31. Vanderpump & Son, Gray's inn sq

WALL, WILLIAM HENRY, Bampton, Oxon, Solicitor. Nov 1. Mercer, Ramsgate

WALMSLEY, PETER, Manchester. Oct 6. Dixon, Manchester

WATTS, WILLIAM, Freshford, Somerset, Grocer. Oct 14. Bush & Son, Trowbridge, Wilts

WEARE, EDWARD, Bristol, Confectioner. Oct 31. Gwynn & Co, Bristol

WIGGS, ELIZABETH, Victoria grove, South Hornsey. Oct 5. Plunkett & Leader, St Paul's Church yd

WILSON, JOSEPH, Penrith, Cumberland, Chemist. Nov 2. Arnison & Co and Little & Lamony, Penrith

WARNING TO INTENDING HOUSE PURCHASERS & LESSEES.—Before purchasing or renting a house have the Sanitary arrangements thoroughly examined by an expert from The Sanitary Engineering & Ventilation Co., 65, late 115, Victoria-st., Westminster (Estab. 1875), who also undertake the Ventilation of Offices, &c.—[ADVT.]

BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Sept. 6.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

BATE, NANCY, Saint Columb, Cornwall, Widow Truro Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 BENNETT, SAINT JOHN COLWOOD, Bloomsbury st, Bedford sq, Gent High Court Pet Aug 16 Ord Sept 2
 BLAIR, HENRY HERBERT, Darlington, Durham, Draper Stockton on Tees and Middlesborough Pet Aug 31 Ord Aug 31
 BRENDON, GEORGE EDWARD, Launceston, Cornwall, Jeweller East Stonehouse Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 BROWN, FREDERICK, Windsor, Job Master Windsor Pet Aug 31 Ord Aug 31
 DAVEY, JOHN ROBERT, Alcester, Warwickshire, Draper Warwick Pet Aug 12 Ord Sept 3
 DAVIES, EVAN LLOYD, Farnale, Glam, Grocer Pontypridd Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 DAVIS, SAMUEL GEORGE, Cheltenham, House Decorator Cheltenham Pet Aug 31 Ord Aug 31
 DODSON, CHARLES WILLIAM, Leeds, Hotel Keeper Leeds Pet Sept 1 Ord Sept 2
 DOLBY, HENRY, Weelsby, Great Grimsby, Smack Captain Great Grimsby Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 EECLES, MATTHEW, Wakefield, Builder Wakefield Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 EDWARDS, WILLIAM, Hillhouse, Huddersfield, Mineral Water Manufacturer Huddersfield Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 FIELD, THOMAS MICHAEL, West Molesey, Surrey, of no occupation High Court Pet Aug 23 Ord Sept 3
 FIELD, SQUIRE, Bradford, formerly Beerhouse Keeper Bradford Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 FRENCH, WILLIAM PHILIP, Brentwood, Essex, Horse Dealer Chelmsford Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 GAFF, WILLIAM, The Wern, Ystalyfera, Glam, Assorter in Tin Works Neath Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 GARNISS, OSWALD CORNELIUS, Ashbourne, Derbyshire, Mercantile Clerk Burton on Trent Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 GAZE, ALBERT THOMAS, Roath, Cardiff, Dairy Manager Cardiff Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 GOULDER, WILLIAM, Great Yarmouth, Baker Great Yarmouth Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 HARGREAVES, BENJAMIN, Bradford, Clothier Bradford Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 HOLMES, RICHARD MESSER, Sandwich, Kent, Brewer Canterbury Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 NURSE, SARAH, Langmere with Dicleburgh, Norfolk, Farmer Ipswich Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 OWEN, ROBERT LLOYD, Cheltenham, Manchester, Commercial Traveller Manchester Pet Aug 21 Ord Sept 4
 PETERS, GEORGE FREDERICK, Briton Ferry, Glamorgan, Boot Salesman Neath Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 POTTER, ALFRED, Halstead, Essex, Paper Manufacturer Colchester Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 POVALL, JOHN, Padeswood, Flintshire, Farmer Chester Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 RANDALL, WILLIAM FREDERICK, The Great Northern Goods Station, Farnington rd, Lime Merchant High Court Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 RAE, JOSEPH, Erdington, Warwickshire, Farmer Birmingham Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 ROBINSON, JAMES, Dunstable, Beds, Builder Luton Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 SCOTT, SAMUEL JOHN, York, Sergeant at Mace of the Court of Record of York York Pet Aug 22 Ord Sept 2
 SMALLER, THOMAS, Stockport, Baker Manchester Pet Aug 17 Ord Sept 4
 TAIT, JOHN, Newport, Mon, Boot Manufacturer Newport, Mon Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 TAILOR, ALFRED, St George, Glos, Boot Manufacturer Bristol Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 WEBB, HENRY, Quenington, Glos, Innkeeper Swindon Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 WIDGERY, WILLIAM, jun, Bishopston, Glos, Letter Carrier Bristol Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 WILDE, WILLIAM, Kilbourne, Derbyshire, Colliery Proprietor Derby Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 WILLIAMS, EVAN, Denbigh, Bookseller Bangor Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 WILLIAMS, ISAAC, Wednesbury, Boat Gauger Walsall Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 WOOD, ROBERT, Berwick upon Tweed, Butcher Newcastle upon Tyne Pet Aug 19 Ord Sept 3

FIRST MEETINGS.

ALWOOD, EDWIN, Swansea, Chemist Sept 13 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
 BOYD, THOMAS JOHN, Kingston upon Hull, Smack-owner Sept 16 at 11 Off Rec, Trinity House lane, Hull
 CHATTELL, HARRY FRANK, Hollingworth, Cheshire, Joiner Sept 13 at 11.30 Off Rec, Ogden's chambers, Bridge st, Manchester
 COOKE, BRYAN GEORGE DAVIES, Colomendy, Denbighshire, Quarry Proprietor Sept 13 at 2.30 Bankruptcy Office, Crypt chambers, Chester
 DAVEY, JOHN ROBERT, Alcester, Warwickshire, Draper Sept 17 at 2.30 Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry
 DAVIS, GEORGE, London rd terr, Enfield, Furniture Dealer Sept 13 at 11 No 16 Road, 30 and 31, St Swithin's lane

DAVIS, HENRY, West Bromwich, Corndealer Sept 16 at 10.45 County Court, West Bromwich
 DAVIS, SAMUEL GEORGE, Cheltenham, House Decorator Sept 14 at 4.15 County Court buildings, Cheltenham
 DUNN, BRYAN, Foleshill, Warwickshire Commission Agent Sept 16 at 12 Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry
 EDWARDS, WILLIAM, Hillhouse, Huddersfield, Mineral Water Manufacturer Sept 16 at 11 Haigh & Son, Solicitors, New st, Huddersfield
 ELD, ALBERT, West Bromwich, Cricket Outfitter Sept 16 at 10.30 County Court, West Bromwich
 FIELD, SQUIRE, Bradford, formerly Beerhouse Keeper Sept 17 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
 GARNISS, OSWALD CORNELIUS, Ashbourne, Derbyshire, Mercantile Clerk Sept 13 at 3 Off Rec, St James's chbrs, Derby
 GASCOYNE, WILLIAM ARTHUR, Leamington Priors, Hairdresser Sept 16 at 11.30 Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry
 GUNNELL, WILLIAM, Gt Grimsby, Saddler Sept 18 at 11 Off Rec, 3, Haven st, Gt Grimsby
 HALL, EDMUND, Gt Grimsby, Bootmaker Sept 18 at 11.30 Off Rec, 3, Haven st, Gt Grimsby
 HARLEY, JOHN EDWIN, King William st, Architect Sept 17 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
 HART, JOSEPH JAMES, Tunbridge Wells, Schoolmaster Sept 13 at 2.30 Spencer & Reeves, Mount Pleasant, Tunbridge Wells
 HARTLEY, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Shepherd's Market, Mayfair, Licensed Victualler Sept 19 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
 HULL, GEORGE, Leicester, Hawker Sept 16 at 3 Off Rec, 28, Friar la, Leicester
 HYATT, BOB, Little Wolford, Warwickshire, Labourer Sept 14 at 3.30 1, St Aldate's, Oxford
 JEWELL, CHARLES COLEMAN, Old Burlington st, Physician Sept 24 at 12 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn
 JOSE, WILLIAM LOVELACE, Sutton, Surrey, Fancy Stationer Sept 16 at 3 119, Victoria st, Westminster
 KING, GEORGE JAMES, Leigh, Ytminster, Dorset, Wheelwright Sept 13 at 1 Off Rec, Salisbury
 KING, THOMAS, Cleethorpes, Lincs, Draper Sept 18 at 12 Off Rec, 3, Haven st, Gt Grimsby
 MCNECHIE, JOHN, Stratford on Avon, Travelling Draper Sept 16 at 12.30 Off Rec, 17, Hertford st, Coventry
 NURSE, SARAH, Langmere with Dicleburgh, Norfolk, Farmer Sept 13 at 12.30 Off Rec, Ipswich
 OVERY, RACHAEL MARY, Hove, Sussex, Ladies' Underclothing Sept 14 at 11.30 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldgs, Brighton
 REYNOLD, JULIAN LEOPOLD, Norwich, Licensed Victualler Sept 14 at 3.30 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich
 RICHIER, FREDERICK, Richmond rd, Hackney, Mercantile Clerk Sept 19 at 11 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn
 RIMMER, THOMAS HOWARTH, HUGH RIMMER, and GEORGE WALTERS, Chester, Carriers Sept 13 at 1.30 Bankruptcy Office, Crypt chambers, Chester
 ROBERTS, WILLIAM HENRY, Tonypanby, Glam, Grocer Sept 16 at 12 Off Rec, Merthyr Tydfil
 RODRICK, AARON, Mansfield, Notts, Boot Manufacturer Sept 13 at 11 Off Rec, 1, High pavement, Nottingham
 SCOTT, SAMUEL JOHN, York, Sergeant at Mace of the Court of Record of York Sept 20 at 11.30 28, Stonegate, York
 SOPER, PELLON, Farnham, Surrey, General Dealer Sept 13 at 2.15 Borough and County Hall, Guildford, Surrey
 TIBBS, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Granville place, Portman sq, of no occupation Sept 19 at 12 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn
 UTLEY, MITCHELL, and WILLIAM SUNDERLAND, Hebdon Bridge, Yorks, Cotton Manufacturers Sept 16 at 3 Exchange Hotel, Nicholas st, Burnley
 WARD, WILLIAM, Doncaster, Innkeeper Sept 16 at 12.30 Guildhall, Doncaster
 WARDEN, JOSEPH, St Helen's, Ipswich, Publican Sept 13 at 12 Off Rec, Ipswich
 WILDE, WILLIAM, Kilbourne, Derbyshire, Colliery Proprietor Sept 13 at 12 St James's chbrs, Derby
 WOOD, JOHN ROBERT, Epworth, Lincs, Currier Sept 16 at 1 Guildhall, Doncaster

ADJUDICATIONS.

ALLEN, ROBERT, Bishops Lydeard, Somerset, Yeoman Taunton Pet Aug 3 Ord Sept 4
 BATE, NANCY, St Columb, Cornwall, Widow Truro Pet Aug 29 Ord Sept 3
 BLADES, WILLIAM SCOTT, Aldershot, Merchant Tailor Guildford and Godalming Pet Aug 28 Ord Aug 31
 BROWN, CHARLES ALBERT, Hornsea, Lincs, Innkeeper Lincoln Pet Aug 19 Ord Sept 2
 BROWN, FREDERICK, Windsor, Job Master Windsor Pet Aug 31 Ord Aug 31
 BROWN, GEORGE, Kennington pk rd, Lambeth, lats Baker High Court Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 4
 BURNETT, WILLIAM HENRY, Midhurst, Sussex, Grocer Brighton Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 31
 CORPUS, CHARLES, Aylesbury, Butcher Aylesbury Pet Aug 20 Ord Sept 2
 DAVIES, EVAN LLOYD, Farnale, Glam, Grocer Pontypridd Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 DAWBARN, ROBERT, March, Cantab, Solicitor Peterborough Pet July 2 Ord Sept 2
 DE MAUROVICH GIUSEPPE, King's Lynn, Superintendent of Grain Cargoes King's Lynn Pet Aug 12 Ord Sept 3

DODSON, CHARLES WILLIAM, Leeds, Hotel Keeper Leeds Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 DOLBY, HENRY, Weelsby, nr Great Grimsby, Smack Captain Great Grimsby Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 EECLES, MATTHEW, Wakefield, Builder Wakefield Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 FIELD, THOMAS MICHAEL, West Molesey, Surrey, now of no occupation High Court Pet Aug 23 Ord Sept 3
 FIELD, SQUIRE, Bradford, formerly Beerhouse Keeper Bradford Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 3
 GAPP, WILLIAM, Ystalyfera, Glam, Assorter in Tin Works Neath Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 GARDINER, THEODORE SENIOR, Harold rd, Norwood, Surrey, Gent Croydon Pet July 23 Ord Aug 31
 GAZE, ALBERT THOMAS, Roath, Cardiff, Dairy Manager Cardiff Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 GASCOYNE, WILLIAM ARTHUR, Leamington Priors, Hairdresser Warwick Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 3
 GODWIN, FREDERICK WICKHAM, Worker rd, Putney, Auctioneer's Clerk Wandsworth Pet Aug 29 Ord Sept 3
 GOULDER, WILLIAM, Great Yarmouth, Baker Great Yarmouth Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 GRACE, ANNIE CLODE, Warrington, Potter Warrington Pet Aug 10 Ord Sept 2
 HARGREAVES, BENJAMIN, Bradford, Clothier Bradford Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 LEWIS, SAMUEL, Kentish Town rd, Pianoforte Frame Manufacturer High Court Pet June 29 Ord Sept 4
 MITCHELL, FRANCIS DAWSON, Reading, Civil Engineer Reading Pet Aug 16 Ord Sept 2
 MORGAN, EDWARD JOHN, Melindwr View, Cardigan-shire, Auctioneer Aberystwith Pet Aug 28 Ord Sept 4
 NURSE, SARAH, Langmere with Dicleburgh, Norfolk, Farmer Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 2
 OVERY, RACHAEL MARY, Hove, Sussex, Ladies' Underclothing Brighton Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 31
 PETERS, CHRISTOPHER FRANCIS, Totterdown, Somerset, Boot Manufacturer Bristol Pet Aug 30 Ord Sept 2
 PETERS, GEORGE FREDERICK, Briton Ferry, Glam, Boot Salesman Neath Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 2
 POVALL, JOHN, Hartsheath, Padeswood, Flintshire, Farmer Chester Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 SIMS, JAMES FREDERICK, Carl, Richmond ter, Clapham rd, formerly Licensed Victualler High Court Pet May 29 Ord Sept 2
 SLATER, JESSE, Meltham, nr Huddersfield, Schoolmaster Huddersfield Pet May 9 Ord Sept 2
 SMITH, CHRISTOPHER, Fleetwood, Lancs, Tailor Preston Pet Aug 20 Ord Sept 4
 UTLEY, MITCHELL, and WILLIAM SUNDERLAND, Hebdon Bridge, Yorks, Cotton Manufacturers Burnley Pet Aug 7 Ord Sept 3
 WARREN, THOMAS, Chadwell Heath, Dagenham, Essex, Joiner Chelmsford Pet Aug 17 Ord Sept 4
 WEBB, HENRY, Quenington, Glos, Innkeeper Swindon Pet Sept 3 Ord Sept 3
 WILLIAMS, EVAN, Denbigh, Bookseller Bangor Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 3
 WILLIAMS, ISAAC, Wednesbury, Boat Gauger Walsall Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 WISE, FREDERICK JOHN, March, Cantab, Solicitor Peterborough Pet July 9 Ord Sept 2

ADJUDICATION ANNULLED.

OWEN, MORRIS WILLIAMS LLOYD, Haverfordwest, Esq Pembroke Dock Adjud Aug 17, 1887 Annul Aug 21

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Sept. 10.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ABEL, MATTHIAS CLARKE, G. P. O., St. Martin's le Grand, Assistant Superintendent London General Postal Service Department High Court Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 7
 BRANDON, GEORGE HENRY, Landport, Builder Portsmouth Pet Aug 16 Ord Sept 4
 BROUGHTON, JAMES DAVID, High rd, Kilburn, Cheesemonger High Court Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 BULMER, JAMES CONNELL, South Bank, Yorks, Surveyor Stockton on Tees and Middlesborough Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 COOK, GEORGE, Leeds, Butcher Leeds Pet Sept 6 Ord Sept 6
 DAVIES, ARTHUR WELLINGTON, Watford, Herts, Butcher's Foreman St Albans Pet Sept 6 Ord Sept 6
 DAVIES, DAVID JONES, Gellygaer, Glam, Clerk in Holy Orders Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 23 Ord Sept 4
 ELLIS, T., & Co, Upper Thames st, Wholesale Tea Dealers High Court Pet July 17 Ord Sept 6
 EMBLING, WILLIAM, Silverdale, Staffs, Fruit Merchant Hanley, Burslem, and Tunstall Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 ETTING, LEOPOLD, Gravesend, Licensed Victualler Rochester Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 HARDY, GEORGE, Ashton under Lyne, Coal Merchant Ashton under Lyne Pet Aug 27 Ord Sept 5
 HAYWARD, JOHN, Kentish Town rd, Grocer High Court Pet Aug 17 Ord Sept 5
 HODGKINS, MARGARET BATES, Jarrow on Tyne, Schoolmistress Newcastle on Tyne Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 JACKSON, SAMUEL, Bury, Corn Miller Bolton Pet Sept 6 Ord Sept 6
 JELLIFF, JAMES, Worthing, Sussex, Basket Maker Brighton Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5

JONES, WILLIAM, Cardiff, Weigher Cardiff Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 LILBURN, WILLIAM, Waterloo, Blyth, Northumberland, Builder Newcastle on Tyne Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 7
 MANWARING, THOMAS, Euston sq, Forage Contractor High Court Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 7
 MITCHELL, ALEXANDER, Oxford st, Insurance Superintendent High Court Pet Aug 2 Ord Sept 7
 OLDRIDGE, JOHN, Goole, Yorks, Stevedore Wakefield Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 POSTER, CHARLOTTE, Bedford, Hatter Bedford Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 REED, JOHN, Burton, crescent, Euston rd, Retired Fat Dealer High Court Pet Aug 17 Ord Sept 7
 ROOT, CHARLES, and FREDERICK WILLIAM BOND DIAPER, Brightlingsea, Essex, Shipbuilders Colchester Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 SEMPER, J. R., Carlos st, Grosvenor sq High Court Pet Aug 16 Ord Sept 5
 SHUTT, THOMAS, Ascrington, Late Slate Merchant Blackburn Pet Sept 6 Ord Sept 6
 SIMPSON, HARRY ERNEST, Richmond rd, Hackney, Physician High Court Pet Sept 6 Ord Sept 6
 SOWTER, WILLIAM INGOLDBY, Cleethorpes, Lincs, Grocer Great Grimsby Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 7
 STEDFORD, GEORGE, Cardiff, Grocer Cardiff Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4
 TREHARNE, HERBERT REES, Great Chapel st, Soho, Stone Merchant High Court Pet Sept 6 Ord Sept 6
 WARD, SMITH, Darlington, Durham, Innkeeper Stockton on Tees and Middlesborough Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 4

The following amended notice is substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Aug. 30.
 JONES, ELIZABETH, Newcastle in Emlyn, Carmarthenshire, Draper Carmarthen Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

The following amended notice is substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Sept. 6.
 SMALLEY, THOMAS HEBBLEWHITE, Stockport, Baker Manchester Pet Aug 17 Ord Sept 4

FIRST MEETINGS.

ALLEN, WILLIAM ABRAHAM, Devonport, Bootmaker Sept 19 at 11 30, Athenaeum terr, Plymouth
 BAKER, HENRY JOHN, Woburn Sands, Bucks, Schoolmaster Sept 19 at 12 County Court buildings, Northampton
 BATE, NANCY, St Columb, Cornwall, Widow Sept 17 at 12 Off Rec, Boscastle st, Truro
 BENNETT, SAINT JOHN COLWOOD, Bloomsbury st, Bedford sq, Gent Sept 18 at 11 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn fields
 BLAIR, HENRY HERBERT, Darlington, Durham, Draper Sept 17 at 11 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesborough
 BRANDON, GEORGE HENRY, Portsea, Builder Sept 30 at 8.30 168, Queen st, Portsea
 BRENDON, GEORGE EDWARD, Launceston, Cornwall, Jeweller Sept 20 at 11 10, Athenaeum terr, Plymouth
 BROWN, CHARLES ALBERT, Horncastle, Lincs, Innkeeper Sept 19 at 12.30 Off Rec, Silver st, Lincoln
 CABER, RICHARD, Raw, Flyingdales, Yorks, Cowkeeper Sept 18 at 3 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesborough
 COHEN, DAVID, and LAZARUS FELDMAN, Commercial rd, Boot Manufacturers Sept 20 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
 DOLBY, HENRY, Weelsby, nr Great Grimsby, Smack Captain Sept 18 at 12.30 Off Rec, 3, Haven st, Great Grimsby
 DYER, WILLIAM, Birmingham, Baker Sept 24 at 11 25, Colmore row, Birmingham
 ECCLES, MATTHEW, Wakefield, Builder Sept 17 at 11 Off Rec, Bond terr, Wakefield
 EMBLING, WILLIAM, Silverdale, Staffs, Fruit Merchant Sept 26 at 10.30 Off Rec, Newcastle under Lyme
 ETTLING, LEOPOLD, Gravesend, Licensed Victualler Sept 19 at 11.30 Off Rec, High st, Rochester
 GAPE, WILLIAM, Ystalyfera, Glam, Assorter in Tin Works Sept 18 at 11.30 Castle Hotel, Neath
 HAGGERSTON, ROBERT, Middleton, St George, Durham, Painter Sept 17 at 11 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesborough
 HANSELL, RICHARD ALEXANDER, Sheffield, Manufacturer of Steel Castings Sept 19 at 11 Off Rec, Figgess lane, Sheffield
 HARGRAVES, BENJAMIN, Bradford, Clothier Sept 18 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
 HARMER, JOHN, Sparkbrook, Worces, Baker Sept 20 at 3 25, Colmore row, Birmingham
 HILLS, ALFRED, and WALTER TOWNSEND, Church st, Camberwell, Ironmongers Sept 18 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
 HODGKINS, MARGARET BATES, Jarrow on Tyne, Schoolmistress Sept 17 at 2.30 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne
 HOLMES, RICHARD MEISSER, Sandwich, Kent, Brewer Sept 18 at 11.30 Fleur de Lis Hotel, Sandwich
 HUMPHRIES, GEORGE COOMBS, Toll End, Tipton, Staffs, Grocer and Farmer Sept 20 at 10.15 Off Rec, Dudley
 JONES, ELIZABETH, Newcastle in Emlyn, Carmarthenshire, Draper and Grocer Sept 24 at 2 Off Rec, 11, Quay st, Carmarthen
 KITCH, WILLIAM, King st, Hammersmith, Grocer Sept 18 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
 LAWES, S. C., the Parade, Perry rise, Forest Hill, Tailor Sept 24 at 11 33, Carey st, Lincoln's inn fields
 LILBURN, WILLIAM, Waterloo, Blyth, Northumberland, Builder Newcastle on Tyne Pet Sept 7

land, Builder Sept 21 at 11 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne
 LITTLE, WILLIAM, Birmingham, Beerhouse Keeper Sept 18 at 11 25, Colmore row, Birmingham
 MORGAN, EDWARD JOHN, Melladur View, Cardiganshire, Auctioneer Sept 25 at 12.30 Townhall, Aberystwith
 MORGAN, HENRY JAMES, Sheerness, Kent, Lieutenant in Royal Navy Sept 18 at 12.30 Off Rec, High st, Rochester
 NICHOLSON, THOMAS, Darlington, Durham, Furniture Broker Sept 17 at 11 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesborough
 OGLEBY, THOMAS, Lincoln, Engine Driver Sept 19 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Silver st, Lincoln
 PETERS, CHRISTOPHER FRANCIS, Totterdown, Somerset, Boot Manufacturer Sept 25 at 1 Off Rec, Bank chambers, Bristol
 PETERS, GEORGE FREDERICK, Briton Ferry, Glam, Boot Salesman Sept 18 at 12 The Castle Hotel, Neath
 POTTER, ALFRED, Halstead, Essex, Paper Manufacturer Sept 17 at 11 The Townhall, Colchester
 RAY, JOSEPH, Erdington, Warwickshire, Farmer Sept 19 at 3 25, Colmore row, Birmingham
 REYFOLDS, WILLIAM THOMAS, Harp lane, Gt Tower st, Builder Sept 20 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields
 ROOT, CHARLES, and FREDERICK WILLIAM BOND DIAPER, Brightlingsea, Essex, Shipbuilders Sept 17 at 11.30 The Townhall, Colchester
 SINDEN, ALFRED, Boughton Aluph, Kent, Carpenter Sept 18 at 3 Saracen's Head Hotel, Ashford
 SMALLEY, THOMAS HEBBLEWHITE, Stockport, Baker Sept 17 at 11.30 Off Rec, Ogden's chbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
 TAIT, JOHN, Newport, Mon, Boot Manufacturer Sept 19 at 12 Off Rec, Bank chbrs, Bristol
 TAYLOR, ALFRED, Two Mile Hill, St George, Glos, Boot Manufacturer Sept 25 at 12 Off Rec, Bank chbrs, Bristol
 WARR, TOM, Horncastle, Lincs, Tailor Sept 19 at 12.15 Off Rec, 31, Silver st, Lincoln
 WIDGERY, WILLIAM, Jun, Bishopston, Glos, Letter Carrier Sept 25 at 12.30 Off Rec, Bank chbrs, Bristol
 WILLIAMS, ARTHUR WELLESLEY, Eaton rise, Ealing, Retired Major Sept 19 at 11 No. 16 Room, 30 and 31, St. Stephen's lane
 WILSON, THOMAS, Beverley, Yorks, Labourer Sept 17 at 11 Off Rec, Trinity House lane, Hull
 WOOD, ROBERT, Berwick on Tweed, Butcher Sept 19 at 2.30 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne
 WOOD, WILLIAM, Plymouth, Licensed Victualler Sept 19 at 12 10, Athenaeum terr, Plymouth
 YOUNG, MAURICE, Milford, Surrey, Nurseryman Sept 17 at 11 Borough and County Hall, Guildford

ADJUDICATIONS.

ABEL, MATTHIAS CLARKE, St Martin's le Grand, Assistant Superintendent, London General Postal Service Department High Court Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 7
 BLAIR, HENRY HERBERT, Darlington, Durham, Draper Stockton on Tees and Middlesborough Pet Aug 30 Ord Sept 4
 BOGGUST, WILLIAM GEORGE, Christchurch, Southampton, Grocer Poole Pet Aug 26 Ord Sept 4
 BULMER, JAMES CORNELL, South Banks, Yorks, Surveyor Stockton on Tees and Middlesborough Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 COOK, GEORGE, Leeds, Butcher Leeds Pet Sept 6 Ord Sept 6
 DAVEY, JOHN ROBERT, Alcester, Warwickshire, Draper Warwick Pet Aug 19 Ord Sept 5
 DAVEY, HENRY, West Bromwich, Corn Dealer West Bromwich Pet July 29 Ord Sept 4
 DAVIS, SAMUEL GEORGE, Cheltenham, House Decorator Cheltenham Pet Aug 31 Ord Sept 5
 EDWARDS, WILLIAM, Hillhouse, Huddersfield, Mineral Water Manufacturer Huddersfield Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 3
 ETTLING, LEOPOLD, Gravesend, Licensed Victualler Rochester Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 GENEY, WALTER BURTON, Eastbourne, late Theatrical Lessee and Manager Eastbourne Pet Aug 9 Ord Sept 4
 HIRST, GEORGE, Liversedge, Yorks, Tannery Dewsbury Pet Aug 21 Ord Sept 6
 HODGKINS, MARGARET BATES, Jarrow on Tyne, Schoolmistress Newcastle on Tyne Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 JELLIFF, JAMES, Worthing, Sussex, Basket Maker Brighton Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 JONES, WILLIAM, Cardiff, Weigher Cardiff Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 4
 LILBURN, WILLIAM, Waterloo, Blyth, Northumberland, Builder Newcastle on Tyne Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 7
 MALLINSON, DYSON, Burnley, Cotton Manufacturer Burnley Pet July 30 Ord Sept 5
 McDONAGH, JAMES SAMUEL, Clement's inn, Strand, M.D. High Court Pet Aug 23 Ord Sept 7
 MCKECHNIE, JOHN, Stratford upon Avon, Travelling Draper Warwick Pet Aug 13 Ord Sept 4
 OLDRIDGE, JOHN, Goole, Stevedore Wakefield Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 OWEN, ROBERT LLOYD, Cheetham, Manchester, Commercial Traveller Manchester Pet Aug 21 Ord Sept 6
 POTTER, ALFRED, Halstead, Essex, Paper Manufacturer Colchester Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 7
 PRESTON, ALFRED FRINCO, late of Middlesborough, Chemist Middlesborough Pet Aug 16 Ord Sept 6
 RAY, JOSEPH, Erdington, Warwick, Farmer Birmingham Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 6
 ROBINSON, JAMES, Dunstable, Beds, Builder Luton Pet Sept 2 Ord Sept 5

RODERICK, AARON, Mansfield, Notts, Boot Manufacturer Nottingham Pet Aug 29 Ord Sept 5
 ROOT, CHARLES, and FREDERICK WILLIAM BOND DIAPER, Brightlingsea, Essex, Shipbuilders Colchester Pet Sept 5 Ord Sept 5
 SHUTT, THOMAS, Ascrington, Late Slate Merchant Blackburn Pet Sept 6 Ord Sept 6
 SMALLEY, THOMAS HEBBLEWHITE, Stockport, Baker Manchester Pet Aug 17 Ord Sept 6
 SOWTER, WILLIAM INGOLDBY, Cleethorpes, Lincs, Grocer Gt Grimsby Pet Sept 7 Ord Sept 7
 STEDFORD, GEORGE, Cardiff, Grocer Cardiff Pet Sept 4 Ord Sept 5
 TOLKERN, CHARLOTTE, HENRY MONTEITH TOLKERN, and WILLIAM BRINDLEY AUGUSTUS TOLKERN, Oxford st, Pianoforte Manufacturers High Court Pet June 14 Ord Sept 5
 WODSON, WILLIAM GEORGE, Heaton, Newcastle on Tyne, Manufacturer Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 24 Ord Sept 7

The following amended notice is substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Sept. 3.
 JONES, ELIZABETH, Newcastle in Emlyn, Draper Carmarthen Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 29

RECEIVING ORDER RESCINDED AND ADJUDICATION ANNULLED.

LOPES, RALPH KKEKEWICH, Goldsmith's bldgs, Temple, Barrister at Law High Court Rec Ord May 26, 1888 Adjud May 29, 1888 Resc and Annul Sept 5

BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.

BIRTHS.

MAGUIRE.—Sept. 7, at 12, Earl's-court-square, the wife of T. M. Maguire, barrister-at-law, of a daughter.
 PERCIVAL.—Sept. 7, at Park House, Towcester, the wife of T. M. Percival, solicitor, of a son.

MARRIAGE.

STEVENS—THOMPSON.—Sept. 4, at St. Mary's, Star-of-the-Sea, Hastings, T. M. Stevens, M.A., B.C.L., barrister-at-law, of St. Leonard's-on-Sea, to May, daughter of the late Martial G. Thompson.

All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

CONTENTS.

CURRENT TOPICS	718
THE RIGHT TO THE POSSESSION AND PRODUCTION OF DEEDS	714
HOW TO PREPARE A DEBTOR'S STATEMENT OF AFFAIRS IN BANKRUPTCY	716
CORRESPONDENCE	717
LEGAL NEWS	717
WINDING-UP NOTICES	718
CREDITORS' NOTICES	717
BANKRUPTCY NOTICES	719
PUBLIC GENERAL STATUTES	

The Subscription to the SOLICITORS' JOURNAL is—Town, 26s.; Country, 28s.; with the WEEKLY REPORTER, 52s. Payment in advance include Double Numbers and Postage. Subscribers can have their Volumes bound at the office—cloth, 2s. 6d., half law calf, 5s. 6d.

Where difficulty is experienced in procuring the Journal with regularity in the Country, it is requested that application be made direct to the Publisher.

EDE AND SON,

ROBE MAKERS,

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT.

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.

ROBES FOR QUEEN'S COUNSEL AND BARRISTERS SOLICITORS' GOWNS.

Law Wigs and Gowns for Registrars, Town Clerks, and Clerks of the Peace.

CORPORATION ROBES, UNIVERSITY AND CLERGY GOWNS. ESTABLISHED 1859.

94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

fac.
OWN
Col-
ack-
nker
nes,
Pet
REEN,
REEN,
fligh
e on
Pet
that
aper
D
nple,
y m.
ept 5

HS.
the
of a
the

Star-
C.L.,
May,

the
cated

.. 713
N
.. 714
P
.. 716
.. 717
.. 717
.. 717
.. 718
.. 719

AL is
the
vances
Sub-
at the
g the
ry, it
direct

ERS,

hole of
&c.

STERN

erks,

OWNS.

N.